

**From:** Brooke Callahan  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/23/02 12:49pm  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

It is clear that Microsoft has engaged in anti-competitive practices.  
The only issue is what to do about it.

1. If we are to correct Microsoft's practices we MUST open the market to the competition. Microsoft should not be allowed to require a license to sell their products. This allows them to take that license away from companies that choose to sell competing products, potentially crippling these vendors.
2. Once a vendor has paid Microsoft for the OEM software to be installed on a computer it should be up to the vendor to add or subtract software from the default installation however they deem fit. This supposes that any changes to the default microsoft installation are made known to the customer that they might reverse them if they choose. Also, it allows the vendor to give their customers the best service possible - making sure everything works as it should and placing these applications where the customer might easily find them.
3. To compensate for its crimes Microsoft should be submitted a full IRS audit for which it would be stripped of it's deductions during it's time of poor business practices. If Microsoft is allowed to use it's software to compensate for it's actions the Courts should set the price of the software.

Example: Windows XP. Microsoft would say that donating this to a school is worth well over \$200. But this high price is only accepted because of the very business practices we are trying to reverse! R&D costs should not be considered either, only the cost of printing the disk.

thankyou,  
Brooke Callahan